

SECRET
DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES/METHODS/EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

14 January 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

Copy for DOB

SUBJECT : Availability of U/W Assets

REFERENCES: a. OFDA-00288 dated 22 December 1959 from SWPE

b. Memo from SR/COP/PL to C/DOB, same subject,
dated 5 January 1960

c. Memo from C/DOB to SR/COP/PL, same subject,
dated 8 January 1960

1. The problem of determining dates when agents held in
the US could be ready in wartime to leave the ZI for the
European Theater was discussed on 11 January between
[redacted] of WPS and the writer.

2. On the basis of the line of inquiry presented in
OFDA-00288 it was agreed that all that would be needed (to
answer OFDA-00288) from the point of view of SR's interests
would be an indication that "an agent asset or agent assets"
assigned for the coverage of the six U/W areas for which SR
Division is responsible can be expected to be ready by D plus
14 for "airlifting from CONUS" to the European Theater. Col.
Chandler indicated that further exploration into and study of
this problem by WPS has established that it will not be
necessary at this time for SR, WE, or EE to go into any
discussion of the number of agent assets to be assigned to
these targets. I pointed out that C/DOB had indicated to me
that, with the continuation and development of project AEDEPOT,
additional agent assets have been trained for assignment to
these targets since the time of the original U/W requirements
exchange (even considering a reasonable attrition rate).
However, it was agreed that we would defer until the next time
the area divisions have to stand up and be counted against
all of the U/W requirements submitted to CIA by JCS before

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

attempting to determine whether the agents available through AEDEPOT are sufficient in number to permit the assignment of two or more agents to any of these individual targets. (Actually, a total of 49 target areas for the CINCEUR area alone were accepted early in 1959 when the USSR requirements for the various CINC's were presented to SR Division for examination. While some of these were accepted on the basis of assets already trained in AEDEPOT, the majority were accepted on the basis of agents to be trained during FY 1960.) On the occasion of the next formal presentation by the JCS of requirements submitted by CINCEUR, CINCPAC, CINCAL, C/DOB, Colonel Podworny, and the writer can re-examine on an overall basis the statements of capability report sheets prepared last year to see where adjustments on a target by target basis are needed.



Distribution:
1 - C/DOB

SECRET

17 March 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD: DSB COPY

SUBJECT: Acceptance of U/C Requirements

REFERENCE:

- a. 11 March Memo from Gehr, SE Division, DSB Staff, same subject (with 2 March draft of Memo from G/SEC to DSB and 2 March draft of Memo from DSB to Gehrman, SEC)
- b. Memo dated 12 December 1958 from G/SEC/Memo to Gehr, DSB Staff, subject: "CIVILIAN, COMMERCIAL, and GENERAL Requirements"

1. During this past week I examined reference a., and its enclosures, from the point of view of SE's interests. I found that several items are unacceptable to SE and have indicated of DSB/SEC who indicated his agreement with the writer. The reservations which I discussed with Jim are discussed for the record briefly below:

a. Summary of Acceptance.

On page 2 under the heading "SEC", Minnesota is listed showing four requirements with "Y" "acceptances". Minnesota is the responsibility of SE Division and is, of course, not part of the SEC. indicated that this will be adjusted and that the record will show that the "Y Accepted" by SEC in 1958 and not SEC as indicated.

b. 2 March Draft Memo from DSB to Gehrman, SEC

The last sentence under para 2 ("However, as a general estimate at this time, we do not believe that this will occur in any substantial number of cases.") is considered by SE to be unacceptable for the following reasons: (1) It is in conflict with the conditions

卷之三

2. With the exception of the recommendations discussed above, the proposed changes to the JV in addition to the relatively insignificant ones the judge or vice or H's recommendations. The "JV organization" deals on recommendations to our clients who are here on the JV organization. (See P73) Proposed additions in the section on clients to our clients as additions to those retained in Paragraph 200. (See P73) that future JV organization agrees. Also, that I understand that Mr. Shuster was present in JV in one connection with the JV Study, are entirely consistent with H's earlier statement. They are being referred to H/PAC as requested in Al Cane's 11 March memo with a copy of this memo for the Record.

卷之三

卷之三

卷之三

1